

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/810,005	03/16/2001	Zhongze Wang	303.747US1	7517
21186	7590 12/12/2003		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A	
	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, · · · · ·		2811	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

	A matter attended	A	- 1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	The second			
Advisory Action	09/810,005	WANG ET AL.	···			
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel A Gebremariam	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondenc addi	'ess			
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper repich places the application.	oly to a eation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-48 and 54</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
	<u>.</u>	Herry Lel	<i>1</i> ′			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: with regards to claims 1,2,5-8,14,15,18,19 and 54 the examiner maintains the position that the claimed inventions are anticipated by applied prior art and with regards to claims 3,4,9-13, 16-17 and 20-48 the examiner maintains the position that the claimed inventions are prima facie obvious over applied prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,005 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/13/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant pointed out a typographical error where element (206) was misrepresented as barrier layer and element (208) as gate. The examiner recognizes the errors. In the Yu (5,866,473) reference, the gate should be (206) and the gate dielectric is (202). However the minor errors pointed out by applicant do not affect the rejection. Therefore the final rejection is maintained.